

ERIC GRANT  
United States Attorney  
SAM STEFANKI  
Assistant United States Attorney  
501 I Street, Suite 10-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Telephone: (916) 554-2700  
Facsimile: (916) 554-2900

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
United States of America

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
DEONTE DESHAWN MORGAN,  
  
Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:25-cr-00132-JAM

**FOURTH STIPULATION AND ORDER TO  
CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND  
EXCLUDE TIME**

DATE: September 23, 2025  
TIME: 9:00 a.m.  
COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez

**STIPULATION**

Plaintiff United States of America (the “government”), by and through its counsel of record, and  
defendant Deonte Deshawn Morgan, by and through his counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on September 23, 2025.
2. By this stipulation, the defendant now moves to continue the **status conference** until  
**November 04, 2025, at 9:00 a.m.**, and to exclude time between September 23, 2025, and November 04,  
2025, under Local Code T4.
3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
  - a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case  
includes over 200 pages of documents and records, as well as video and audio recordings. A  
significant portion of this discovery is subject to a protective order previously entered in this case

1 to safeguard the identity of a minor victim. All of this discovery has been either produced  
2 directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.

3 b) Counsel for the defendant desires additional time to review the current charges,  
4 conduct investigation and research related to the charges, review and copy produced discovery,  
5 discuss potential resolutions with his client, and otherwise prepare for trial. This process requires  
6 additional time due to the discovery-handling restrictions imposed by the protective order.

7 c) Counsel for the defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested  
8 continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into  
9 account the exercise of due diligence. Defense counsel is scheduled to meet with his client later  
10 this week to discuss the status of this case, and anticipates making progress in reviewing  
11 discovery and discussing possible resolutions.

12 d) The government does not object to the continuance.

13 e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the  
14 case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the  
15 original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.

16 f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161,  
17 et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of September 23, 2025, to November  
18 04, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local  
19 Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendant's request  
20 on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh  
21 the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: September 16, 2025

ERIC GRANT  
United States Attorney

/s/ SAM STEFANKI  
SAM STEFANKI  
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: September 16, 2025

/s/ DOUGLAS BEEVERS  
DOUGLAS BEEVERS  
Counsel for Defendant  
DEONTE DESHAWN MORGAN

**ORDER**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 16, 2025

  
JOHN A. MENDEZ,  
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE